



Trade-offs between Conservation and Development in CBNRM

Mª del Mar Delgado





CBNRM

- CBNRM as a means for communities to regain control over natural resources to promote <u>livelihood security</u> and <u>conservation</u> (Western and Wright 1994)
- Applied to a wide array of circumstances and models
- The significance of CBNRM approaches in recent decades has not paralleled with its success in reaching both goals.
- Many of the projects have a bias towards conservation or development outcomes, and relatively few of these strategies can convincingly demonstrate achievement in both of these dimensions





CBNRM

- Different types of CBM strategies exist. The most analyzed are donor- and government-driven initiatives based on economic incentives to encourage conservation.
- Other types of non-externally driven CBM strategies are:
 - i) the strategies aiming to devolve or recognize existing community rights and responsibilities based on concerns of environmental and social justice
 - ii) the long-term CBM strategies based on customary practices that result in conservation
 - iii) the common pool resource management, often associated with co-management strategies





OBJECTIVES

- The research objective was to identify how settings and institutions influence conservation and development outcomes in a case study representing each reality.
- The selected cases cover a diverse range of situations in which a community-based organization addresses the management of natural resources for livelihood using bottom-up governance structures.





- 1) A time-tested indigenous-based conservation strategy: Santiago Comaltepec, a small Chinantec community with a long tradition of customary practices for natural resource protection in the Sierra of Oaxaca (Mexico);
- 2) A constitutional recognition of ancestral land rights of Afro-American communities in two Afro-Colombian community councils (CC): *Bajo Calima* (Calima-CC) and *Alto y Medio Dagua* (AMDA-CC) located in the Colombian Pacific
- 3) The artisanal management of fisheries in Bahía Blanca estuary (Argentina).







A constitutional recognition of ancestral land rights of Afro-American communities: AMDA and Calima Community Councils (Colombia)

- 2 Community Councils of Black communities
- Community property of land recognised by CO Constitution
- High level of poverty and marginalization
- Lack of formal jobs
- Tropical forest with high biodiversity and water resources
- Conflicts with illegal actors (coca trafficking and miners)









A time-tested indigenous-based conservation strategy: Comaltepec (Mexico)

- Small indigenous community
- Customary uses regime
- Community property of land recognised by Government
- Well conserved forest
- Strong social capital (leading to positive & negative effects)
- Logging, subsistence agriculture, livestock, sawmill and ecotourism
- Payment for ecosystem services (water catchment)
- High level of poverty
- Lack of employment opportunities
- Remittances















Common pool resource management, often associated with comanagement strategies in Bahia Blanca (Argentina)

- Artisanal fishermen (disappearing)
- High environmental value estuary
- Unique wetland system
- Strong archaeological and paleontological value of beaches
- Dune regeneration disturbed by building and housing
- Important economic port (project to increase dredging in the estuary)
- Petrochemical and industrial site
- Incipient collective action and community-based conservation















SETTINGS

	Calima & AMDA (CO)	Comaltepec (MX)	Bahia Blanca (AR)
Institutional framework	Collective rights recognised by National Constitution	Collective rights recognised by Mexican Constitution	Marine and coastal resources are public property Fishing activities developed by private actors and regulated by government
Alignment of interests	Partial, conflicts between conservation and economic development interests (mining sector)	No current collision of interests between government and community	Lack of alignment between artisanal fishers and government interests. Industrial sectors challenge fishers' interests
Power relations	Highly asymmetric	Asymmetric. Local leaders have limited bargaining capacity	Asymmetric and not well-defined
Threats and conflicts	Paramilitaries and guerrilla Richness of natural resources attracts powerful actors	No external threats or conflicts Migration as important internal threat	Different sectors (harbor, petrochemical pole, industries, large-scale fishers, tourism, etc.) compete for natural resource use







INSTITUTIONS

	Calima & AMDA (CO)	Comaltepec (MX)	Bahia Blanca (AR)
Endurance	Short history	Long history	Long tradition of artisanal fishers' cooperative. Difficulties for collective action
Property rights	Collective property	Collective property	Public property privately exploited
Local decision making bodies	General Assembly (includes all the community members, without gender distinction) Community leaders elected by the Assembly Rural neighborhood committees Sector committees	General Assembly of Commoners (a representative of every native family) Common Goods Commissioner (elected by the Assembly) Overseeing Council Council of Eldest (Caracterizados) with strong influence on decision-making	Fishers associations have assemblies and design representatives, but they have limited decision power to influence government agencies
NRM rules and regulations	Internal Management Plans Access and extraction rules well defined but monitor and sanction rights not Social sanctioning but not always rule compliance No rule compliance by external actors Young and women encouraged to get involved	NRM rules decided in the Assembly of Commoners Well-defined access and use rights, monitoring rules and enforcement capacity Obligatory collective activities Social sanctioning fully implemented and internal and external rule compliance Weak role of women and young in collective activities and decision-making	Government regulates the rights of access and has monitoring and sanctioning rights. Internal rules respected by fishers but not by external actors Rangers and police control resource extraction Social sanctioning methods partially work among artisanal fishers but in other collectives individualistic and free-riding behavior predominates







INSTITUTIONS

	Calima & AMDA (CO)	Comaltepec (MX)	Bahia Blanca (AR)
Conflict resolution mechanisms	Internal conflicts face-to-face solved by leaders Conflicts with external actors solved by environmental authorities'	Conflicts solved by face-to-face confrontations	Conflicts solved with demonstrations, strikes and road cutting, creating big economic losses
Leadership and management capacity	Strong and recognized leaders, legitimated by a long history of activism, but with limited external influence NGOs and national agencies support in management tasks	Uncontested leadership of Caracterizados, often surpassing Commissioners NRM management capacities developed by UZACHI	Several fisher associations exist, weakening leadership. Often, personal interests prevail over collective ones and the associations have limited representation
Social capital, values and collective action	High bonding and bridging social capital, but limited linking social capital Collective action is part of people's idiosyncrasy Legitimacy and trust values	High bonding, medium bridging and low linking social capital Assembly's tight control on innovation and entrepreneurship Reciprocity, trust and legitimacy values	Medium bonding and linking and low bridging social capital. Individualistic and opportunistic behavior Local community involvement discouraged by the history







CONSERVATION

	Calima & AMDA (CO)	Comaltepec (MX)	Bahia Blanca (AR)
Resource potential production	High	High	It could be higher if rules and regulations were respected
Resource	Low-medium	Low	Fisheries' productivity is
productivity			decreasing
Natural resources	Water pollution	Community rules protect	Changes in biodiversity and
status	Riverbanks destroyed (gold)	biodiversity, natural habitats and	dunes
	Habitats destructed (coca)	water.	Water polluted
	Glyphosate aerial spraying	Forests restored	Dredging
	Illegal logging and hunting	Management system certified as	New protection areas i
	Reforestation schemes	Smart and Sustainable Wood	Demand to elaborate
			integrated plans







DEVELOPMENT

	Calima & AMDA (CO)	Comaltepec (MX)	Bahia Blanca (AR)
Resource availability	Abundant water, forest and fishing resources. Subsoil rich in minerals Gold decreased	Abundant resources, but its use is restricted by communal laws	Resource availability and quality limited by poor management and lack of control
Livelihood strategies	Based on natural resource exploitation Legal and illegal wood extraction commercialized with low added value	Based on forest production, livestock and subsistence agriculture. Remittances Forests exploited by communal enterprises	Fishers' livelihood strategies linked to natural resources, but compete with other economic sectors Unfair competition from large vessels decreases fishers' livelihood options
Economic opportunities	Low development opportunities and high levels of marginalization No formal jobs associated with natural resources Armed conflicts undermine development possibilities (e.g., ecotourism) No payment for ecosystem services (PES)	Lack of economic opportunities and tight community rules Absence of qualified jobs Communal enterprises Lack of technology to add value Emergent individual development initiatives (vegetables, orchids, and gourmet coffee) Water catchment PES. CO2 sequestration PES being explored	Other job opportunities exist Good performance of socioeconomic indicators Different sector specialization in each town, but fishery sector in all of them New ecologic fish processing plant creates economic opportunities to more than 200 families







DEVELOPMENT

	Calima & AMDA (CO)	Comaltepec (MX)	Bahia Blanca (AR)
Distribution of	Community members	Incomes from forest exploitation	Benefits follow market principles:
benefits	individually profit from	and communal enterprises not	actors develop activities on a
	resources (fishing, gold mining	distributed to inhabitants but	private basis and generate
	and agriculture plots), but	invested in common infrastructures,	incomes. Conflicts of interests
	following the internal rules for	facilities and services, but they	between artisanal fishers tourism
	extraction	concentrate in the main village	and environmental protection
SES renewal	High-medium for forest due to	High for forest, due to natural	Highly dependent on
options	natural conditions and absence	conditions and absence of important	environmental management.
	of important threats.	threats.	Limited environmental strategies,
	Gold extraction techniques,	Limited logging that has little impact	but increasing
	sewage and untreated wastes	on renewability	Need of integrated coastal, marine
	pollute rivers'	Population density and economic	and tourism plans
	Population density and	growth does not currently create	Population density and economic
	economic growth do not	resource pressures	growth create resource pressures
	currently create resource		
	pressures		
	·	·	·





TRADE-OFFS

	MEXICO	COLOMBIA	ARGENTINA
CONSERVATION	Very High	Medium	Weak
DEVELOPMENT	Weak	Weak	High



VULNERABILITY





CONCLUSIONS

- Livelihood depends on sustainable management in all cases, but there is no balance between conservation and development.
- Comaltepec preserves natural resources but at the cost of development.
- Argentina fishermen have higher welfare levels, but the management in the area is unsustainable.
- CCs do not have excellent results in any aspect, and threats to conservation are derived from the absence of livelihood options outside of resource exploitation.





CONCLUSIONS

- External pressures for conservation are the current development models and drivers, such as globalization, worldviews and the market economy that create financial needs which traditional management forms do not provide.
- Internal challenges are making people respect the rules that threaten conservation when incomegeneration options and enforcement capacities are limited.





CONCLUSIONS

- Development is externally blocked by the lack of economic incentives and compensation models that enable economic opportunities and welfare linked to the sustainable management of natural resources.
- Global views demand to conserve the natural resources necessary to regulate ecosystem functioning, but they need to combine with the local views where livelihood options and incomes are a necessity.







KNOW MORE ABOUT US VISITING OUR WEBSITE!!

www.comet-la.eu

mmdelgado@uco.es





















